

*Fast, Free and Fun:
Lightweight Simulation Modelling
with Python*

Dr John D Salt
Musketoon Limited



musketoonltd@gmail.com

Contents

- Prologue: Simulation Languages to 1990
- Free Open Source Software and Python
- Experiences with Python
- Need for a Network Editing Framework
- Inspiration
- Vision
- Disadvantages and Blockers
- Conclusion

Simulation Programming Languages to 1990

- GPSS
 - IBM, c. 1961
- SIMSCRIPT
 - RAND Corporation, c. 1965
 - CACI
- Simula
 - Norwegian NCC, 1968
- MODSIM
 - DoD/CACI, c. 1989

Free Open Source Software and Python

- Python offers:
 - Full object-orientation with multiple inheritance
 - Strict but dynamic typing
 - Easy object persistence with pickle()
 - The best available PRNG
- NumPy adds:
 - A variety of probability distributions
- SimPy adds:
 - Co-routines for process-based simulation
 - Resources and stats collection queues
- Several graphics packages to choose from

Experiences with Python

- BFT – Blue Force Tracker traffic
- ANTFARM – Cluster head election
- BG IERs – Really about Falcon
- PWAS – Really base protection surveillance
- WAPITI 1 and 2 – Tactical Internet topology
- RADIX – Role-Activity Diagrams
- PNs 1 and 2 – Petri Nets
- GAWM – Geoint analysts' workload sharing

Need for a Graphical Network Editor

- Directed graphs can represent many things
 - Communications nets
 - Command structures
 - Work flows
 - Equipment associations
- Generic Arc-Node Editing Framework:
 - GANEF – MODSIM + SIMGRAPHICS
 - GANEF 2 – Java + DEC EZGraphics
 - GANEF 3 – Python + TkInter + TkZinc
 - GANEF 4 – Python + PyQt4

Choice of Graphics Package

- TkInter comes with the standard Cpython release
 - It offers bindings to Tcl/Tk
 - TkZinc is an improved third-party graphical canvas
- PyQt was a Nokia product, now from Riverside
 - It offers bindings to C++
 - PySide is intended to offer similar functionality under a more permissive licence (LGPL)
- As neither is pure Python, there are problems with pickle()

The Inspiration

- RAD/JAD/XP/Agile/Evolutionary development
 - Stress on user participation
- The Cathedral and the Bazaar
 - Eric Raymond, elements of hacker culture
- Distillations
 - Project Albert
- Scissions
 - Ray Paul
- Modes of Practice
 - Stewart Robinson

The Vision

- Brutal Simplification
 - St. Exupéry's idea of perfection
- Complete openness
- Putting the simulation into the user's hands
- Graphical interactive construction and execution
 - “If it's not interactive, it's not fun”
 - The best V&V method I know
- Executable diagrams
 - Architecture is useless if it just sits there

Disadvantages and Blockers

- Simulation is unpopular
 - If not in decline, at least under-appreciated
- “Need to know” rather than “Duty to share”
 - especially in defence
- FUD about FOSS
 - “If it’s free, it must be worth what I paid for it”
- “No point re-inventing the wheel”
 - learning value of model construction not seen
- Organisational drag
- Not wanting to get one’s hands dirty

Conclusion

- Technical obstacles can all be overcome
- Political/organisational/attitudinal obstacles are harder
- Is anyone else doing this?

Questions?

Dr John D Salt
Musketoon Limited



musketoonltd@gmail.com