

**[dstl]**

30 July 2014

© Crown copyright 2014 Dstl



Ministry  
of Defence

# Future of command and control: Analysis or crystal ball?

John M. Catherall, Ed Kennedy, David W. Lewthwaite,  
Paul V. Pearce, Paul R. Syms & Ian Tamm, Dstl LBSD  
*31 ISMOR, Royal Holloway, UoL, 29 July–1 Aug 2014*  
[prsyms@dstl.gov.uk](mailto:prsyms@dstl.gov.uk) Dstl/CP82630

# Topics

- Project Lions' Leap
- Historical view of C3
- Emerging technologies
- Conclusions
- Questions?

# Project Lions' Leap



30 July 2014

© Crown copyright 2014 Dstl

UK OFFICIAL



Ministry  
of Defence

# Future tactical C3

- Part of wider Army Development and Transformation
  - Dstl worked with Army HQ to think about future of C3
    - “Every good project starts in the library”
  - 2030+ timeframe
- Tactical HQ sizes and organizations
- Ways of working ... not radio technology

# Study modules

- Facilitated a study day with Army HQ
- Essay on current C2 thinking (NEC, etc.)
- Historical review of C3
  - the subject of much of this paper
- Piece on possible ‘disruptive technologies’
  - and how they might affect the HQ user
  - but not an exercise in predicting future ICT

# Historical analysis of C3

# Pre-history of warfare

- 64 species exhibit ‘war-like’ behaviours
  - including meerkats, dolphins and one bird
  - mostly primates, and all great apes except the bonobo\*
- Most studied in chimps
- In primates it’s all in a gene:
  - MAOA
  - the ‘warrior gene’



\*Van der Dennen (1995)



# Pre-human warrior C3

- All warrior species are social
  - and all have hierarchies or ‘pecking orders’
- Chimpanzees conduct sustained campaigns
  - ‘total war’, aiming to kill or drive off rival groups
- Some apes appear to hold ‘O-groups’ before a raid
- Meerkats move in column, but fight in line ...
  - therefore do they ‘deploy’ for a battle?
- Note: not *all* species exhibit *all* behaviours


# What non-human warriors do

- Chimpanzees may *use* sticks and stones
  - but not seen to modify or carry weapons
  - one amassed stones before visitors came to the zoo ...
    - the start of tactical logistics?
- Basic warfare is pre-human
  - because it works, it survives ...
  - co-evolved with ape brains
  - we inherit it from the apes



# Brain size and group size

- Primate group size related to neocortex volume
  - Dunbar (1992): the ‘Dunbar number’
- Humans: 80–200, mean 150
  - mean numbers of friends on SNS
  - and the size of a company ...
- Actually a hierarchy of groups
  - starts with ‘clique’ of 4 ‘best friends’ ...
  - or a fire-team?
  - some apes follow a ‘rule of threes’



# Ancient C2

- Or, “What have the Romans ever done for us?”
- Hierarchy of force, contubernium to legion
  - some ‘rule of threes’, some of tens ...
  - an intermediate command level inserted on campaign
- Century HQ was a small team ...
- Legion HQ was a ‘team of teams’
  - specialist branches for personnel and pay, security, supply ...
  - is anything new?

(G1)

(G2)

(G4)

# Ancient communication

- Mesopotamia: as fast as a man could run ...
  - and as much as a man could remember
  - then writing increased bandwidth
- Egypt: as fast as a horse could run
  - and as many papyri as a horse could carry
- Simple messages using smoke and beacons ...
  - speed now limited (theoretically) to the speed of light ...
  - content (bandwidth) highly constrained ...
  - but did the Romans use the optical telegraph?


# Modern communication

- Telescopes enabled effective optical telegraphy
  - England, France and Sweden, 1790s
- Electric telegraph, 1840s
  - efficient Morse code soon followed
- 1850s, Crimean War ...
  - dawn of the ‘long screwdriver’
- 1900s, first WT in the Boer War
  - 1914: one radio per division ...
  - 2014: one radio per man!



# Military office technology

- Wax tablets drove the Roman military bureaucracy
- Paper maps from China
- Renaissance printing revolution
  - standing orders, drill books
- Industrial technology, 1800s
  - but civilians led the field
- Computers from the 1950s
  - now civilian ICT in every HQ



# But does ICT buy efficiency?

- Found little evidence ICT wins battles
  - though GPS improved Coalition speed in Gulf War
  - US Stryker Brigade performance improved on exercise\*
- Project explored civilian analogies ...
  - again, little evidence of efficiency
  - *“You can see the computer age everywhere but in the productivity statistics”* – Robert Solow (1987)

\*Gonzales *et al.*, 2005



# The 'King Canute effect'

- The Army cannot hold back the ICT tide
- Needs comms with government ...
  - NGOs, CIMIC, 'Green'
- All require and produce Excel, PDFs, JPGs ...
  - And *everyone* expects PowerPoint briefs

# Culture – the forgotten piece

- C2 changes must be culturally acceptable
  - disaster of French combined arms regiments in 1950s
  - and US 'Pentomic divisions' in same period
- History and pride improves fighting effectiveness
- Changes that ignore culture *will* fail

# Culture – the forgotten piece



# Emerging technologies



30 July 2014

© Crown copyright 2014 Dstl

UK OFFICIAL



Ministry  
of Defence

# Emerging technologies

- Human context presents challenges
  - information overload, merging of voice and data
- New sources of information
  - automated filtering, crowd sourcing, autonomous vehicles
- New ways of processing information
  - pattern and language recognition, 'big data', game theory
- New ICT aids to decision-making
- Disruptive C3 threats ...

# Conclusions

- HA reminds us of the roots of C3
  - not simply a modern thing ...
  - but rooted in our DNA, psychology and culture
  - technology will change rapidly, but the users won't
- We must use ICT intelligently
  - to enable, not to overload
  - requires thoughtful experimentation and integration
- We ignore social and military culture at our peril!

# Selected sources

- Van CREVELD M.L. (1985) *'Command in War'* Harvard, Cambridge, MA: 339 pp.
- Van der DENNEN J.M.G. (1995) *'The Origin of War: The Evolution of a Male-Coalitional Reproductive Strategy'* Origin Press, Groningen: 292 pp.
- DUNBAR R.I.M. (1992) *'Neocortex size as a constraint on group size in primates'* J. Human Evol. **20(5)**: 469–493
- DUNBAR R.I.M. (1993) *'Coevolution of neocortical size, group size and language in humans'* Behavioral and Brain Sciences **16(4)**: 681–735
- GONZALES D., JOHNSON M., McEVER J. *et al.* (2005) *'Network-Centric Operations Case Study: The Stryker Brigade Combat Team'* RAND NDRI paper MG-267-1
- KEEGAN J.D.P. (1987) *'The Mask of Command'* Jonathan Cape Ltd.; Pimlico, London: 366 pp.
- KIRKE C.M.StG. (2004) *'Organizational Culture – the Unexpected Force'* J. Battlefield Technology **7(2)**: 11–17
- MALLINSON H. (2005) *'Send it by Semaphore: The Old Telegraphs During the Wars with France'* The Crowood Press, Marlborough: 240 pp.
- SOLOW R.M. (1987) *'We'd Better Watch Out'* Book review in the New York Times, 12 July 1987, p. 36
- WEBSTER G. (1969) *'The Roman Imperial Army'* A. and C. Black Ltd., London: 330 pp.
- ZHOU W.-X., SORNETTE D., HILL R.A. & DUNBAR R.I.M. (2005) *'Discrete hierarchical organization of social group sizes'* Proc. Royal Society B **272(3)**: 435–444

# Questions?

[prsyms@dstl.gov.uk](mailto:prsyms@dstl.gov.uk)



**[dstl]**

30 July 2014

© Crown copyright 2014 Dstl



Ministry  
of Defence